Resource Availability and Plant-to-Plant Competition in Maize:

Implications for 21st Century Maize Genetic and Agronomic Improvement

Christopher R. Boomsma¹, Jonathan P. Lynch², and Tony J. Vyn³

¹Seeds and Traits R&D, Dow AgroSciences ²Department of Horticulture, The Pennsylvania State University ³Department of Agronomy, Purdue University

> Illinois Corn Breeders' School March 7, 2011





Disclaimer

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of their respective employers.





Introduction: Historical Trends

- Pronounced maize (Zea mays L.) grain yield improvement
 - Genetics (60%)
 - Agronomics (40%)
 - Genetics x agronomics (100%)
- Improved maize environmental stress
 tolerance
 - Biotic (e.g., insect feeding) and abiotic stresses
 [e.g., low soil nitrogen (N), drought]
 - High plant densities
- High plant densities

rdue Aaronomv

- Reduced per-plant resource availability
- Intense plant competition
- Increased barrenness and per-plant growth and developmental variability
- Pronounced plant hierarchies
 - Dominated/unsuccessful plants
 - Dominant/successful plants
- − Ultra-high plant densities \rightarrow lower yield



Tollenaar and Lee, 2002



Introduction: Goals and Emphases







Introduction: Study Justification

Enhanced stand uniformity and reduced plant hierarchies

Multi-faceted solutions

- Altered eco-physiology
- Trait-mediated pest control
- Twin-row planting pattern
- High N fertilization rates

Theory and Justification

- Increasing N fertilizer costs and N environmental concerns
- Understand and improve maize resource use efficiency and stress tolerance
- Per-plant and canopy-level morpho- and eco-physiological understanding
- Intense season-long plant hierarchy analysis
- Temporal (e.g., emergence date, silking date) and spatial (e.g., plant spacing) aspects
- High plant densities and varied N availability





Materials and Methods

Experimental Setup:

- 2005-2007
- Purdue University Agronomy Center for Research and Education (ACRE); West Lafayette, IN
- ~ 4,000 plants per year
- Fall strip-tillage
- John Deere RTK automatic guidance system
- 10-34-0 at 25 kg N ha⁻¹
- No intentional seeding delays, plant thinning, growth alteration, etc.



Treatments:

- Hybrid:
 - Pioneer 33N09
 - Pioneer 31G68
 - Pioneer 31N28
- Plant density:
 - 54,000 plants ha⁻¹ (agronomic sub-optimal)
 - 79,000 plants ha⁻¹ (≈ agronomic optimal)
 - 104,000 plants ha⁻¹ (agronomic supra-optimal)
- Side-dress N rate (28-0-0):
 - 0 kg N ha⁻¹
 - 165 kg N ha⁻¹ (V3) (≈ agronomic optimal N rate)
 - 330 kg N ha⁻¹ [V3, V5 (equal split)]

Plant Hierarchy Definitions:

- Plants were ranked in ascending order.
- A plant was classified as dominated, intermediate, or dominant when its grain weight rank position was in the lowermost 25%, middle 50%, or uppermost 25% of the population of plants, respectively.





Materials and Methods

Per-plant Measurements (partial list):

- Emergence growing degree days (GDD)
- Plant available space
- Plant height (V5, V14, R1)
- Sixth-internode maximum stem diameter (V14, R1, R3, R6)
- Leaf chlorophyll/N content (i.e., SPAD) (V14, R1, R3, R5)
- Largest leaf length, width, and area (R1)
- Total green leaf area (R1)
- Green leaf area index (LAI) (R1)
- Green leaf area ratio (LAR) (R1)
- Total leaf number
- Anthesis and silking date
- Aboveground total biomass (R1, R6)
- Kernel number
- Individual kernel weight
- Grain weight
- Harvest index
- Vegetative biomass remobilization

Canopy-level Measurements (partial list):

- Leaf chlorophyll/N content (i.e., SPAD)
 (V14; R1, R3, R5)
- Earleaf and biomass N concentrations (R1)
- Grain N, starch, sugar, amino-N, and protein levels
- Machine harvest grain yield







Per-unit-area Grain Yield and NUE







Per-plant Grain Yield Mean and CV







Per-plant Biomass, Harvest Index, and ASI



Commonly presumed harvest index of modern North American hybrids.





(A)

(B)

b

Per-plant Grain Yield Inequality







Per-plant Total Biomass and Harvest Index







• Modern hybrids displayed:

- Strong N responsiveness.
- Relatively high NUE.
- High crowding tolerance when N was applied.
- Low crowding tolerance when N was limiting.
- Harvest index values greater than 0.5 when N was applied.
- Plant density independence when N was applied.

• High plant densities:

- Did not improve overall NUE.
- Increased the downside risk to inadequate N availability.







• Low yield in the highly competitive environment resulted from:

- Reduced production and activity of source tissues during the pre-silking period.
- Decreased plant growth and poor biomass partitioning to the ear around the silking period.
- Early remobilization of leaf N and accompanying reductions in photosynthesis during the grain-filling period.
- Unequal resource sharing between plants.
- Formation of pronounced plant hierarchies composed of dominated and dominant plants.
- Enhanced plant-to-plant variability for a large number of phenotypic traits.









Symptoms of an individual plant's failure in high stress conditions:

- Delayed vegetative and reproductive development.
- Lower pre-silking source tissue production.
- Preferential pre-silking biomass partitioning to leaf versus stem tissue.
- Reduced ability to exploit available space.
- Lower pre- and post-silking leaf N levels.
- Premature leaf chlorophyll losses.
- Reduced post-silking vegetative assimilate remobilization.
- Decreased biomass partitioning to the developing ear around and after the critical period bracketing silking.



Canopy





Plants

 Keys for an individual plant's success in high stress conditions:

- Effectively competing for solar radiation through pre-silking stem elongation.
- Maintaining relatively high rates of pre-silking biomass accumulation.
- Sustaining ear biomass accumulation during the critical period bracketing silking.
- Producing a relatively large leaf area with high leaf N levels for sustaining plant and ear growth.
- Maintaining leaf N levels during the grain-filling period to ensure assimilate availability.
- Limiting the premature remobilization of lower stem assimilates to root tissues.
- Remobilizing vegetative assimilates for ear growth and development.
- Non-issues <u>among all</u> densely-sown plants:
 - Relatively early emergence.
 - Marginally greater available space.



Dow AgroSciences



Causal Mechanisms: Aboveground Competition





Maddonni et al., 2002





Causal Mechanisms: Belowground Competition



Work of Jouke Postma





Causal Mechanisms: Belowground Competition



Work of Jouke Postma





Avenues for Maize Improvement

Improve plant-to-plant uniformity

- Yield potential
- Resource use efficiency
- Stress tolerance

Reexamine the maize ideotype

- Canopy-level → per-plant level
- Altered reproductive allometry
- Plant plasticity (good and bad), efficient in-plant resource allocation, and growth redundancy
- Compensatory growth habit
- Biological altruism and Donald's ideotype
- Belowground competition and ideotypes
- Improved per-plant yield potential and prolificacy

Employ heuristic crop models

- Below-ground intra-specific competition
- Spatial, temporal, and multi-resource components
- Environmental and management aspects
- Root-shoot interactions and in-plant/inter-organ resource allocation







Avenues for Maize Improvement

- Reevaluate maize agronomics
 - High plant densities, plant density independence, and genotype plasticity
 - Site-specific management (landscape \rightarrow individual plant)
- Consider plant ecology and microeconomics
- Physiology: observe and chronicle \rightarrow guide and predict
- Translational research

Genomics/proteomics/metabolomics → phenomics → agronomics





Avenues for Maize Improvement



Dow AgroSciences



Acknowledgements

Funding:

- Pioneer Fellowship in Plant Sciences (2006-2009)
- Purdue University Andrews Fellowship (2004-2006)
- Purdue University Research Foundation

Equipment and Materials:

- Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.
- Deere & Company
- Purdue University Agronomy Center for Research and Education (ACRE)

Field Work, Laboratory Work, and Guidance:

- Drs. Matthijs Tollenaar, Achim Dobermann, Jim Camberato, and Bob Nielsen
- Purdue University
 - Terry West
 - Ignacio Ciampitti
 - Yanbing Xia
 - The Tony Vyn Laboratory Group
 - Dr. Jeff Volenec and Suzanne Cunningham
 - Agronomy Center for Research and Education
- The Pennsylvania State University
 - Jouke Postma
 - The Jonathan Lynch Laboratory Group
- Predecessors and Colleagues











